minutes in length. Unless we observe this rule, I am afraid some Hon'ble Members who may wish to speak will not have an opportunity to do so. I request all Hon'ble Members to be as brief in their remarks as may be consistent with the importance of the subject that is going to be discussed. In conclusion I would ask the Hon'ble Members to support me in the discharge of the duties of the chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Registrar of Co-operative Societies—journey to New Delhi.

1. **Mr. K. T. Mathew.**—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Registrar of Co-operative Societies went to New Delhi in 1934 to attend a Conference;

(b) the total amount drawn as travelling allowance for attending the Conference;

(c) whether he has submitted any report or suggestion for improving the co-operative movement in the State as a result of his attending the Conference at New Delhi;

(d) who acted for the Registrar during the period of his absence from the State?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) Rs. 314—0—0.

(c) The Proceedings of the Conference have been reported to the Government.

(d) The Head clerk was put in charge of the current duties of the office.

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Has he submitted any suggestions besides giving to the Government the report of the meeting of the Conference held at Delhi?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes. He has made a few suggestions.

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—What are those suggestions and what is the reason for not giving them to the House?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The question has been answered there. "The Proceedings of the Conference have been reported to the Government."

Mr. K T. Mathew.—My questoin was "whether he has submitted any report or suggestion". The Government have not answered that.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Oh! I am sorry. I think he has made a few suggestions against some of the points raised in the Conference. But they have not been considered yet. We have been waiting for the detailed report and they were received only a week back.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—May I know what are those suggestions?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I have not got the suggestions here. They have not been considered yet.

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Can the answer be supplied to me?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes. I will show you these suggestions.

Vettikkadavu road —widening of.

2. **Mr. K. T. Mathew.**—With reference to item No. 9 in Appendix VI referring to question No. 44 dated 23rd January 1934, will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) why the amount of Rs. 500 provided for widening Vettikkadavu road was allowed to lapse;

(b) whether the above work has since then been taken up and completed; and if not,

(c) what is the reason for not completing the work for which necessary amount was provided in the budget for 1108?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—(a) The Panchayat proposed the acquisition of portions of Survey Nos. 776, 775, 266, 267 of Palanji village for widening the narrow portions of roads near Palanji Bazaar and for making a straight connection to the Vettikkadavu road from Palanji bazaar road. Accordingly a sum of Rs. 500 was provided for in the budget of 1108 for payment of land acquisition charges.

The land acquisition proceedings had to be dropped on account of the complaints of the people affected by the acquisition. The acquisition from Survey No. 776 is through the centre of a valuable arecanut garden. The owner had complained that his compound will be cut in twin leaving his houses on one side of the road and his wells on the other and making it impossible for the inmates to water the garden or to enjoy the other benefits of the wells. It was considered not advisable to acquire the whole plot because the expenditure that would be required for this would be too great when compared with the purpose for which it is acquired.

In Survey Nos. 266 and 267 and 775 there are houses projecting into the road. The occupants of these houses objected that if these projecting portions were acquired and dismantled the other portions would be considerably affected and that they would not have sufficient accommodation for habitation.

In these circumstances, the amount was not utilised for the purpose for which it was originally intended. What was then considered necessary was repairs to this road.

(b) The acquisition proceedings have not since been taken up in view of the facts referred to in (a). But the repairs of the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly